UKIGINAL

Printed on Recycled Paper

		FILED NGELES SUPERIOR COU	
	Telephone: (310) 474-7022 Facsimile: (310) 474-7023	MAY 3 0 2008	
	5 Attorneys for Plaintiff	JOHN A CLARKE, CLERK	RECEIVED
	Juan Meyer, for himself, and on behalf of others similarly situated	BY I FLORES, DEPUTY	MAY (14 2008
	7	-	Dept. 307
	8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
1	CENTRAL CIVIL WEST		
1	I TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL OF THE TOTAL OF) CASE NO.: BC361174	
12	others similarly situated and on behalf of the general public,) CASE FILED: 10/31/06	;
13	Plaintiff,) PROPOSED ORDER	GRANTING
14	vs.	FINAL APPROVAL O SETTLEMENT AND I	DISMISSAL OF
15	SMART & FINAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation, SMART & FINAL STORES	ACTION WITH PREJ	
16	CORPORATION, a California Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	[Supplemental Brief for I Declaration of I. Benjami	n Blady: and
17	Defendants.) Declaration of Peter Malishka filed) concurrently herewith]	
18)	DATE: May 16, 20 TIME: 9:00 a m	008
19		TIME: 9:00 a.m. DEPT.: 307	
20		ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE	
21		HON. JUDGE WILLIAM DEPT. 307	F. HIGHBERGER,
22		[COMPLEX CASE/CLASS ACTION]	
23		DISCOVERY CUT-OFF:	NONE
24)	MSJ CUT-OFF: TRIAL DATE:	NONE NONE
25	Plaintiff/Class Representative Juan Meyer's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action		
26	Settlement with Defendants Smart & Final Stores LLC (formerly known as "Smart & Final Stores		
27	Corporation" ("SFS") and Smart & Final Inc. ("SFI") (hereinafter referred to collectively as		
28	Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and		

and May 30, 2008 "Smart & Final" and/or "Defendants") came on hearing in Department 307 of the above-entitled 1 2 Court on May 16, 2008, the Honorable William F. Highberger, Judge presiding. 3 I. Benjamin Blady of Appleton, Blady and Magnanimo, LLP appeared on behalf of 4 Plaintiff/Class Representative Juan Meyer. 5 appeared on behalf of Defendants Smart & Final. Having read and considered the motion and points and authorities filed by the parties, and 6 7 having heard argument of counsel, 8 IT IS ORDERED AND DETERMINED THAT: Pursuant to this Court's Preliminarily Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice") and the Claim Form ("Claim Form") were sent to each Class Member by First Class Mail. The Notices informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, the process available to obtain monetary relief, the right to opt out and pursue their own remedies, the opportunity to file written objections and the right to appear in person or by counsel at the fairness hearing and be heard regarding the approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members objected and no one opted-out; 2. The Court finds and determines that these procedures afford adequate protections to Class Members and provide the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' responses thereto. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of California Civil Code section 1781(e), California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, and due process;

The Court finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, 3. reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member; that the Class Members who have not opted out shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement; that the Settlement Agreement is ordered and finally approved; and that all terms of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated;

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4. The Court finds and determines that payments to be made to the Class Members
provided for in the Settlement Agreement, are fair and reasonable, and gives final approval and
orders those payments to be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement;

- 5. The Court finds that given the absence of objections, and objections being a prerequisite to appeal, that the Court's order of final approval shall be considered final as of the date of notice of entry;
- The Court orders that within 30 days from May 30, 2008 (final approval), 6. Defendant shall prepare settlement checks for each class claimant in the amounts set-forth by the Administrator less any required deductions, and provide such checks to the Administrator;
- 7. The court finds that all late claims received prior to the date of final approval (10 claims) are considered to be timely funtimely, and such claims shall not be paid;
- 8. The Court orders that within 10 business days following the Administrator's receipt of the settlement checks, the Administrator shall mail the checks to each eligible Class Member:
- 9. The Court orders and determines that \$1,050,000 in attorneys' fees, including all claims for costs, shall be paid to Class Counsel for all work done and to be done until the completion of this matter, and gives final approval to the Administrator for payment of said amount be made to Class Counsel, "Appleton, Blady & Magnanimo, LLP" within 10 business days from May 30, 2008 (final approval);
- 10. The Court orders and determines that the \$5,000 enhancement award to Plaintiff/Class Representative Juan Meyer is appropriate for his risk, service and incentive. The Court finds that the award to Plaintiff is fair and reasonable, and gives final approval and orders that the \$5,000 payment shall be made to Plaintiff by the Administrator within 10 business days from May 2008 (final approval);
- 11. The Court orders and determines that up to \$30,000 be paid to the Administrator for all of its agreed work done and to be done until the completion of this matter and is appropriate;
- The Court orders that a check for \$18,112.50 be issued by the Administrator to the "State of California" for any claimed potential penalties under the California Labor Code Private

as

PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Juan Meyer v. Smart & Final, Inc., et al. L.A.S.C. Case No. BC 360 744

I declare as follows:

 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 12301 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 202, Los Angeles, California 90025. I am readily familiar with my employer's business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing affidavit.

On May 9, 2008, I served a true and correct copy, with all exhibits, of the following documents described as: [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITH PREJUDICE

 \boxtimes

By United States Mail. On the party or parties named below, by following ordinary business practice, placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, for collection and mailing with the United States Postal Service, where it would be deposited for first class delivery, postage fully prepaid, in the United States Postal Service, that same day in the ordinary course of business, addressed as set forth below. (Regular office deposit)

By personal service. I personally delivered the documents on the date shown below to the persons at the addresses listed below. (1) For a party represented by an attorney, delivery was made to the attorney or at the attorney's office by leaving the documents in an envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or an individual in charge of the office. (2) For a party delivery was made to the party or by leaving the documents at the party's residence between the hours of eight in the morning and six in the evening with some person not less than 18 years of age.

By overnight delivery. I enclosed the documents on the date shown below in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the person listed below. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier with delivery fees paid by sender's account. (Code of Civil Procedure

§1013(c).

By messenger service. I served the documents on the date shown below by placing them in an envelope or package addressed to the person on the addresses listed below, and providing them to a professional messenger service for service. (A declaration by the messenger must accompany this proof of service or be contained in the Declaration of Messenger below.)

By fax transmission. I faxed the documents on the date shown below to the fax numbers of the persons listed below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.

Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and <u>Dismissal of Action with Prejudice</u>