1 2 3 4	I. Benjamin Blady, Esq. – State Bar No. 162470 BLADY WEINREB LAW GROUP, LLP 6310 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, California 90048 Telephone: (323) 933-1352 Facsimile: (323) 933-1353 E-mail: bblady@bwlawgroup.com	FILED  MADERA SUPERIOR COURT  SEP 21 2010				
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RENE ROMO, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals	CLERK				
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA					
10	CIVIL DIVISION					
11	RENE ROMO, Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Individuals,	CASE NO.: MCV 039364				
12	Plaintiff,	CASE FILED: 02/15/08				
13	VS.	AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF				
14	EVAPCO, INC., a Maryland Corporation;	ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, DISMISSAL OF CLASS ACTION WITH				
15 16	EVAPCO WEST, legal entity unknown; ) RALPH GARCIA, an individual, ROBERTO ) YBARRA, an individual, and DOES 1 through )	PREJUDICE AS TO CLASS MEMBERS WHO OPTED OUT, AND SETTING OF FURTHER HEARING ON STATUS OF				
17	50, Inclusive,	SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS				
18	Defendants. )	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE ERNEST J. LICALSI, DEPT. 4				
19		DISCOVERY CUT-OFF: NONE TRIAL DATE: NONE				
20		INTAL DATE. NOINE				
21	TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:					
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on August 30, 2010, the Court entered the following					
23	Order (1) granting final approval of class action settlement; (2) dismissing with prejudice					
24	Plaintiff's sixth through eleventh causes of action as to all Class Members who did not opt out;					
25	and (3) setting a further hearing on October 14, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. for a status report on settlement					
26	payments.					
27	<i>III</i>					
28	///	CUPY				

1	Please find attached as Exhibit 1, a true and correct copy of the Order from the Court.		
2			
3	DATED: September 20, 2010		BLADY WEINREB LAW GROUP, LLP
4			Q Z 200M
5		Ву:	I. BENJAMIN BLADY
6			Attorneys for Plaintiff RENE ROMO, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals
7			of all similarly situated individuals
8			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
24			
25			
26			

	I. Benjamin Blady, Esq. – State Bar No. 162470 BLADY WEINREB LAW GROUP, LLP 6310 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, California 90048 Telephone: (323) 933-1352 Facsimile: (323) 933-1353 E-mail: bblady@bwlawgroup.com	MADERA SUPER  AUG 3 0  BONNIE THOMAS				
:	Attorneys for Plaintiff RENE ROMO, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals	State of the second				
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF MADERA					
10	CIVIL D	DIVISION				
11	RENE ROMO, Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Individuals,	) CASE NO.: MCV 039364				
12	Plaintiff,	) CASE FILED: 02/15/08				
13	vs.	TPROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION				
14	EVAPCO, INC., a Maryland Corporation;	SETTLEMENT				
15 16	EVAPCO WEST, legal entity unknown; RALPH GARCIA, an individual, ROBERTO YBARRA, an individual, and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,	DATE: August 23, 2010 TIME: 8:30 a.m.				
17	Defendants.	DEPT: 4				
18	Defendants.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE ERNEST J. LICALSI, DEPT. 4				
19		DISCOVERY CUT-OFF: NONE				
20		TRIAL DATE: NONE				
21						
22	,					
23	Plaintiff Rene Romo's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement with					
24	Defendant Evapco Inc. came on hearing in Department 4 of the above-entitled Court on August					
25	23, 2010, the Honorable Ernest J. Licalsi, Judge presiding.					
26	Marnin Weinreb of Blady Weinreb Law Group appeared on behalf of Plaintiff/Class					
27	Representative Rene Romo and the Settlement Class. Lindsay S. Fitch of Sayfarth Shaw LLP					
28	appeared on behalf of Defendant Evapco, Inc.					

Having read and considered the motion and points and authorities filed by the parties, and having heard argument of counsel,

## IT IS ORDERED AND DETERMINED THAT:

- 1. Pursuant to this Court's Preliminarily Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice") was sent to each Class Member by First Class Mail. The Notices informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, the process available to obtain monetary relief, the right to opt out and pursue their own remedies, the opportunity to file written objections and the right to appear in person or by counsel at the fairness hearing and be heard regarding the approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members objected and only one opted-out.
- 2. The Court finds and determines that these procedures afford adequate protections to Class Members and provide the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' responses thereto. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of California Civil Code section 1781(e), California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, and due process.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member; that the Class Members who have not opted out shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement; that the Settlement Agreement is ordered and finally approved; and that all terms of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
- 4. The Court finds and determines that payments to be made to the Class Members, as provided for in the Settlement Agreement, are fair and reasonable, and gives final approval and orders those payments to be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. The Court finds that given the absence of objections, and objections being a prerequisite to appeal, that the Court's order of final approval shall be considered final as of the date of notice of entry and that August 23, 2010 shall be the "Effective Date."

- 6. The Court orders that Defendant shall wire all monies necessary to fund the settlement to the Administrator by September 13, 2010. Within 30 days from August 23, 2010 (final approval), the Administrator shall prepare settlement checks for each class claimant in the amounts set-forth by the Administrator less any required deductions, and mail the same checks to the all participating class members.
- 7. The Court orders and determines that \$323,750.00 in attorneys' fees, and an additional amount for costs of \$20,000.00, shall be paid to Class Counsel for all work done and to be done until the completion of this matter, and gives final approval to the Administrator for payment of said amount be made to Class Counsel, "Blady Weinreb Law Group, LLP" in the total amount of \$343,750.00 within 10 days from receipt of settlement funds from Defendant. Neither party will file a Memorandum of Costs.
- 8. The Court orders and determines that the \$9,250.00 enhancement award to Plaintiff/Class Representative Rene Romo is appropriate for his risk, service and incentive. The Court finds that the award to Plaintiff is fair and reasonable, and gives final approval and orders that the \$9,250.00 payment shall be made to Plaintiff by the Administrator within 10 days from receipt of settlement funds from Defendant.
- 9. The Court orders and determines that up to \$15,000.00 be paid to the Administrator for all of its agreed work done and to be done until the completion of this matter and is appropriate.
- 10. The Court orders that a check for \$ 9,262.50 be issued by the Administrator to the "State of California" for any claimed potential penalties under the California Labor Code Private Attorney General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") and delivered to Class Counsel within 10 days from receipt of settlement funds from Defendant.
- 11. The Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation and effectuation of this Order and the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Upon satisfaction of all payment and obligations under the Settlement Agreement and under this Order, all settlement Class Members who did not opt out are permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendant or its present or former officers, directors, shareholders,

1	employees, agents, principals, heirs, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, insurers,							
2	and their respective successors and predecessors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, parents and							
3	attorneys, any individual or class claims released in the Settlement Agreement.							
4	13.							
5	eleven - are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to all Class Members who did not opt out.							
6	14. The Court orders that this matter shall be set for a further hearing on							
7	8:30 am, 2010 for a status report as to whether the Settlement payments ordered hereunder							
8	were made.							
9								
10	IT IS	SO ORDERED.						
11	Dated:	AUG 3 0 2010	ERNEST J. LICALSI					
12	Butou.		Judge of the Superior Court					
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
11 .			4					